



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,907	01/05/2004	Einat H. Nir	003	2001	
7590 01/18/2007 EINAT H. NIR 20 Komemiut Street Rosh Ha'ayin, 48039 ISRAEL			EXAMINER		
			MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/750,907	NIR, EINAT H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan McFadden	2626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication for Reply	ation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX I, by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ul>	)⊠ This action is non-final. r allowance except for forma		ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the I 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 January 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the I 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	$04$ is/are: a) $\square$ accepted or longer to the drawing(s) be held in the correction is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been receive ocuments have been receive the priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa ).	al Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO SI) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	D-948) Pa 5)	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application ner:	

Application/Control Number: 10/750,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a dictionary building, classified in class 704, subclass 10.
- Claims 12-20, drawn to OCR and scanning, classified in class 382, subclass 290.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions: scanning and OCR is not necessary for building a dictionary entry.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Art Unit: 2626

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was not made to Einat Nir on January 11, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan McFadden
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2626

January 11, 2007